

Lec 22

Context Free Grammar

ID ↪ Human
 Lexing ↪ list of char
 ↪ list of tokens
 parsing ↪ expression

fact(3)
 (ID "fact") :: LPAREN :: INT 3 :: RPAREN
 App(Var "fact", Num 3)

← abstract syntax tree

Chomsky hierarchy

level	representation	application
General grammar	turing machine	computing
Context-sensitive grammar	whatever	whatever
Context-free grammar	pushdown automata	parsing
Regular grammar	finite automata	lexing / search

Context free grammar

← programme

$$P \rightarrow \epsilon \mid E ; P$$

← empty

$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid E \text{ and also } E \mid \text{case } E \text{ of } M \mid \dots$$

← expression

$$M \rightarrow Q \Rightarrow E \mid Q \Rightarrow E \mid M$$

$$Q \rightarrow () \mid \dots$$

Def A context free grammar (CFG) is a tuple (Σ, V, S, R) s.t.

- Σ is set of terminals viz. chars we see in program,
- V is set of non-terminals ($\Sigma \cap V = \emptyset$)
- $S \in V$ is the start symbol
- R is set of rules of form $N \rightarrow w$ where $N \in V, w \in (\Sigma \cup V)^*$ ← star from regex

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in (\Sigma \cup V)^*$

- β is derivable from α in one step ($\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$) if:
 $\exists \delta, \epsilon$ s.t. $\alpha = \delta N \delta$ and $\beta = \delta \omega \delta$ where $N \rightarrow \omega \in R$
- β is derivable from α if $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha \Rightarrow \sigma_1 \Rightarrow \sigma_2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \beta$
- $L(G) = \{ \omega \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow \omega \}$

Ex. Let $G = (\Sigma, V, S, R)$

$\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

$S = \{S, A\}$

$R = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow AbA \\ A \rightarrow \epsilon \\ A \rightarrow a \\ A \rightarrow aA \end{array} \right\}$ ← actually redundant

Derive

$S \Rightarrow AbA \Rightarrow abA \Rightarrow abaA \Rightarrow aba$ ← left most derivation: always expand left most
 $S \Rightarrow AbA \Rightarrow AbaA \Rightarrow Aba \Rightarrow aba$

using rule 3. Multiple ways. Then we get multiple parse trees: (may cause inconsistency) ← Ambiguous

Def A grammar is ambiguous if it has multiple left-most derivation

- Figuring out if a CFG is ambiguous is undecidable
- There are languages s.t. all CFG to describe it is ambiguous

Thm All regex can be expressed as CFG

0 - $(\Sigma, \{S\}, S, \emptyset)$

1 - $(\Sigma, \{S\}, S, \{S \rightarrow \epsilon\})$

a - ($\Sigma, \{S\}, S, \{S \rightarrow a\}$)

⋮

Ex. $\{a^n \mid n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, n \geq 0\}$ ← regular. finite storage to accept.
 $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ ← not regular, but context free. finite storage + stack to accept
 $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ ← not context free. Need finite storage + at least two stacks
 $\{a^n b^m c^m d^n \mid m, n \geq 0\} \cup \{a^n b^n c^m d^m \mid m, n \geq 0\}$ ← context free, but always ambiguous

Pumping Lemma — for proving some lang is not in a Chomsky class

If L is infinite regular language, $\exists a, w, \beta$ s.t.
 $w \neq \epsilon$ and $aw^k\beta \in L$ for every k viz. there's some loop

Consider $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$. Suppose it's regular.

Then $\exists w \in \epsilon, aw^k\beta \in L$ for all k .

idea is that we can't repeat any $ab, aabb, \dots$

Consider $S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid aSa \mid bSb \mid a \mid b$

Simple Parser ← (usually we can use parser generator these days)

← probably better
→ Bottom up: try rebuild to S from string
→ Top down: start from S and try make target string
← for now

$G_1 = \{S \rightarrow X \mid \lambda X.S \mid (SS)\}$

datatype token = LAMBDA | LPAREN | RPAREN | ID of string | DOT

datatype exp =
| Var of string
| Lam of string * exp
| App of exp * exp

```

(* parseExp : token list → (exp * token list → 'a) → 'a
fun parseExp (ID x::ts) k = Var (x, ts)
  | parseExp (LPAREN::ts) k =
    parseExp ts (fn (e1, ts') ⇒
      parseExp ts' (fn (e2, RPAREN::ts'') ⇒
        k (App (e1, e2), ts''))
    )
  | parseExp (LAMBDA::ID x::DOT::ts) k =
    parseExp ts (fn (e, ts') ⇒ k (Lam (x, e), ts'))
  | ... - - - = raise SyntaxError

```

