

## Lec 5 Sequences

# Recall dependence graph & pebble game

Greedy strat take at most  $\frac{W}{P} + S$

Well then at each step we either:

- contribute to  $\frac{W}{P}$  term
- contribute to  $S$  term
- contribute to both

So we fill  $\frac{W}{P} + S$  by greedy scheduling

# Work span trade off

→ Which to optimise?

$\frac{W}{P} + S$  ... usually  $W$  first. Usually give up no more than  $O(\log n)$  work for better span

# Array seqs

- Primitives  $A[i]$ , alloc,  $|A|$ , parFor
- Assume parFor forks as many as it wants

append  $A B = \text{tab } (fn i \Rightarrow \text{if } i < |A| \text{ then } A[i] \text{ else } B[i - |A|]) \quad (|A| + |B|)$

$$W = O(|A| + |B|) \quad S = O(1)$$

subseq -- tabulate and grab indices?

$$W = O(L) \quad S = O(1)$$

Nope spec says  $O(1)$ .  
Because values not mutable we can reference subseq

Efficient subseq & split mid

type  $\alpha$  seq = ( $\alpha$  array \* start \* end)

→ Then operation does index manipulation without necessarily copying part of the  $\alpha$  array.

# iterate, iteratePrefixes, reduce, scan

iterate :  $(\beta \times \alpha \xrightarrow{F} \beta) \rightarrow \beta \xrightarrow{\text{init}} \alpha \text{ seq} \rightarrow \beta$   
*It's just foldl*

$W = O(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} W(f(x_i, A[i])))$       $S = W$   
 ↑ Prof's new symbol, whoops

Consider :

```

    x = <init>
    B = alloc |A|
    for i in 0..(n-1)
      B[i] = x
      x = f(x, A[i])
    ret (B, x)
  
```

iteratePrefixes :  $(\beta \times \alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha \text{ seq} \rightarrow (\beta \text{ seq}, \beta)$

But if  $f$  associative and  $\langle \text{init} \rangle$  is left identity of  $F$ , we can do things in parallel

$\Rightarrow$  iterate  $f \text{ I } A \equiv$  reduce  $f \text{ I } A$

Associative funcs

$+, *, \wedge, \dots$

$f((l_1, r_1), (l_2, r_2)) =$  if  $(r_2 > l_2)$  then  $(l_1, r_1 - l_2 + r_2)$   
 else  $(l_1 - r_1 + l_2, r_2)$

copy(x, y) = case y of NONE  $\Rightarrow$  x  
 -  $\Rightarrow$  y

Examples

Assuming  $W_{\text{merge}} = O(n)$   $S_{\text{merge}} = O(\log n)$

iterate (merge <)	<>	<<x> : x ∈ A	← insertion sort	$W = O(n^2)$ $S = O(n \log n)$
reduce (merge <)	<>	<<x> : x ∈ A	← merge sort	$W = O(n \log n)$ $S = O(\log^2 n)$