

Lec 9

Parsing II : why LR(1) & how parsers work

→ Try implement parser by hand

- LR(1) balances:
- parse efficiency
 - parser generation efficiency
 - expressiveness

Parse efficiency

Ex. $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$

G (grammar) =

[1] $S \rightarrow aBSc$

[2] $S \rightarrow abc$

[3] $Ba \rightarrow aB$

[4] $Bb \rightarrow bb$

$L(G) = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

↳ not context free! We need look around to decide if a rule can apply

▷ Chomsky hierarchy:

recursively enumerable	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$	TM	undecidable	
context-sensitive	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $ \alpha \leq \beta $	linear bounded TM	PSPACE complete	$a^n b^n c^n$
context-free	$A \rightarrow \gamma$	non-deterministic pushdown (automata with stack)	cubic	$a^n b^n$
regular	$A \xrightarrow{*} a$ $A \xrightarrow{*} ab$	NFA / DFA	linear	$a^* b^*$

▷ Grammar for parsing:

regular not expressive enough, context sensitive too slow
 ↓
 LR(1) between regular and context-free, and gives linear time

▷ Deterministic context-free languages

Thm Language L can be defined by a deterministic pushdown automaton iff L can be defined by LR(k) grammar
 iff L can be defined by LR(1) grammar

| LL(k) can also parse,
 but we don't have
 a LL(k) to LL(1) reduction

Parser Generation

Goal: find $S \xrightarrow{*} w_0$, keeping states $\gamma \parallel w_i$
 starting $\epsilon \parallel w_0$ ending $s \parallel \epsilon$
 transit by shift or reduction

Ex. $S \xrightarrow{\text{emp}} \epsilon$ $S \xrightarrow{\text{next}} [S]S$

Situations to consider in parse table

1. $\gamma = \epsilon$, try derive S
2. $\gamma = \gamma' [$, need to derive $S]S$
3. $\gamma = \gamma' [S$, need $\dots]S$
4. $\gamma = \gamma' [S]$, need $\dots S$
5. $\gamma = \gamma' [S]S$, should be done

Table filling: - look at next token
 - decide shift or reduce by rule or raise error

1. Compute prefix set

↳ $\text{prefix}(S) = \{a \mid \exists w \in \Sigma^*, S \xrightarrow{*} aw\} = \{ \}$

2. For each situation, consider each next token a and prefix set P of what we want to derive

↳ if $a \in P$, shift

↳ else if can reduce to exactly one other state in table, reduce

↳ else : (

γ	(want)	next			EOF
		[]	tn	
ϵ	S	shift	red(emp)		red(emp)
$\gamma'[\epsilon$	$S]S$	shift	red(emp)		red(emp)
$\gamma'[S\epsilon$	$]S$	err	shift		err
$\gamma'[S]\epsilon$	S	shift	red(emp)		red(emp)
$\gamma'[S]S\epsilon$	ϵ	(err)*	red(next)		red(next)
ϵS	ϵ	err	err		ACC

* can technically go to ϵS , so alg would split the line into cases