

Lec 12 Dynamic Semantics

Static semantics: what's a valid programme
 Dynamic: how the programme should be executed
 English: ambiguous
 Math: more precise ← WASM defined this way, for example

Types of Dyn. Sem.

- Denotational: abstract, elegant
 programmes ↔ mathematical object
 procedure ↔ function
- Axiomatic: programme logic
 code ↔ proof
- Operational: how programme is executed
 abstract machines
 very common
 - ↳ Structural operational
 - ↳ Substructural operational
 - ↳ Abstract machine
 - ⋮
 - ⋮

Continuation

Transition system: step by step eval of expr until reduced to value



Pure Ops

$$e_1 \oplus e_2 \triangleright k \rightarrow e_1 \triangleright (_ \oplus e_2, k)$$

$$c_1 \oplus e_2 \triangleright k \rightarrow e_2 \triangleright (c_1 \oplus _, k) \quad c_1 \text{ is const}$$

$$c_2 \triangleright (c_1 \oplus _, k) \rightarrow c \triangleright k \quad c = c_1 + c_2 \pmod{2^{32}}$$

Fx Ops

$$e_1 \odot e_2 \triangleright k \rightarrow e_1 \triangleright (_ \odot e_2, k)$$

$$c_1 \odot e_2 \triangleright k \rightarrow e_2 \triangleright (c_1 \odot _, k)$$

$$c_2 \triangleright (c_1 \odot _, k) \rightarrow c \triangleright k \quad c = c_1 \odot c_2$$

$$c_2 \triangleright (c_1 \odot _, k) \rightarrow \text{exception(arith)} \quad c_1 \odot c_2 \text{ undefined}$$

Eval ex.

$$((4+5) * 10) + 2 \triangleright \cdot$$

$$\rightarrow (4+5) * 10 \triangleright _ + 2$$

$$\rightarrow 4+5 \triangleright _ * 10, _ + 2$$

$$\rightarrow 4 \triangleright _ + 5, _ * 10, _ + 2$$

$$\rightarrow 5 \triangleright 4 + _, _ * 10, _ + 2$$

$$\rightarrow \dots$$

Bool Ops

$$e_1 \&\& e_2 \triangleright k \rightarrow e_1 \triangleright (_ \&\& e_2, k)$$

$$\text{false} \triangleright (_ \&\& e_2, k) \rightarrow \text{false} \triangleright k \quad \leftarrow \text{shortcutting}$$

$$\text{true} \triangleright (_ \&\& e_2, k) \rightarrow e_2 \triangleright k$$

Variables

define η as environment $\eta ::= \cdot \mid \eta, x \rightarrow v$

$$\eta \vdash x \triangleright k \rightarrow \eta \vdash \eta(x) \triangleright k \quad x \text{ required to be in } \eta$$

Statement Conts

statement doesn't pass value to k but may modify η

$$\eta \vdash s \triangleright k$$

$$\eta \vdash \text{seq}(s_1, s_2) \triangleright k \rightarrow \eta \vdash s_1 \triangleright (s_2, k)$$

$$\eta \vdash \text{nop} \triangleright (s, k) \rightarrow \eta \vdash s \triangleright k$$

$$\eta \vdash \text{assign}(x, e) \triangleright k \rightarrow \eta \vdash e \triangleright (\text{assign}(x, _), k)$$

$$\eta \vdash v \triangleright (\text{assign}(x, _), k) \rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto v] \vdash \text{nop} \triangleright k$$

$$\eta \vdash \text{if}(e, s_1, s_2) \triangleright k \rightarrow \eta \vdash e \triangleright (\text{if}(_, s_1, s_2), k)$$

$$\eta \vdash \text{true} \triangleright (\text{if}(_, s_1, s_2), k) \rightarrow \eta \vdash s_1 \triangleright k$$

$$\eta \vdash \text{false} \triangleright (\text{if}(_, s_1, s_2), k) \rightarrow \eta \vdash s_2 \triangleright k$$

Observe $\text{while}(e, s) \equiv \text{if}(e, \text{seq}(s, \text{while}(e, s)), \text{nop})$

$$\eta \vdash \text{while}(e, s) \triangleright k \rightarrow \eta \vdash \text{if}(e, \text{seq}(s, \text{while}(e, s)), \text{nop}) \triangleright k$$

Declaration

$$\eta \vdash \text{decl}(x, \tau, s) \triangleright k \rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto \text{nothing}] \vdash s \triangleright k$$

No shadowing in CO so this is fine

Assertions

$$\eta \vdash \text{assert}(e) \triangleright k \rightarrow \eta \vdash e \triangleright (\text{assert}(_), k)$$

$$\eta \vdash \text{true} \triangleright (\text{assert}(_), k) \rightarrow \eta \vdash \text{nop} \triangleright k$$

$$\eta \vdash \text{false} \triangleright (\text{assert}(_), k) \rightarrow \text{exception(assert)}$$

Final state

exception (E)
 nop $\triangleright \cdot$

Functions Cont.

eval the arguments, save caller env, save caller cont., run callee, restore stuff

$$\text{Call stack } S ::= \cdot \mid S, \langle \eta, k \rangle$$

$$S; \eta \vdash f() \triangleright k \rightarrow (S, \langle \eta, k \rangle); \cdot \vdash s \triangleright \cdot$$

$$S; \eta \vdash f(e_1, e_2) \triangleright k \rightarrow S; \eta \vdash e_1 \triangleright (f(_, e_2), k)$$

$$S; \eta \vdash c_1 \triangleright (f(_, e_2), k) \rightarrow S; \eta \vdash e_2 \triangleright (f(c_1, _), k)$$

$$\vdots$$

Return

$$S; \eta \vdash \text{return}(e) \triangleright k \rightarrow S; \eta \vdash e \triangleright (\text{return}(_), k)$$

$$S, \langle \eta', k' \rangle; \eta \vdash v \triangleright (\text{return}(_), k) \rightarrow S; \eta' \vdash v \triangleright k'$$

Special case: return void

$$S, \langle \eta', k' \rangle; \eta \vdash \text{nop} \triangleright \cdot \rightarrow S; \eta' \vdash \text{nothing} \triangleright k'$$

dummy

Main function (initial state)

$$\cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{main}() \triangleright \cdot$$

Expression statement

$$\eta \vdash e \triangleright k \rightarrow \eta \vdash e \triangleright (\text{discard}, k)$$

$$\eta \vdash v \triangleright (\text{discard}, k) \rightarrow \eta \vdash \text{nop} \triangleright k$$

would be nothing if passing void function

Formal stuff

Thm if programme passes static analysis, we shouldn't get stuck executing by those rules