

Lec 12

- Midterm on Wed
- Review session 18:00 - 19:30
- One sheet of note allowed

Elementary Matrix

= matrix you get by doing one elementary row operation.

Ex. $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

What happens when we mul some matrix with an elem matrix?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d & e & f \\ a & b & c \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -3c & -3d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2a+c & 2b+d \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplying matrix with elem matrix performs the operation that created the elem matrix

* Notice we can always undo elem. matrix. But then:

$$E_2(E_1 A) = A \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_2 E_1 = I \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_2 = E_1^{-1}$$

So all elem. matrix invertible and their inverse is elem. matrix

Theorem: "fundamental theorem of invertible matrix"

Let $A_{n \times n}$. Then the following are equivalent (TFAR)

- (a) A^{-1} exists
- (b) $Ax = \vec{b}$ has unique solution $\forall \vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- (c) $Ax = \vec{0}$ has only the trivial solution
- (d) Reduced row echelon form of A is I_n
- (e) A is a product of elem. matrices

→ i.e. either all true or all false

instead of proving \Leftrightarrow , we can prove this:



Proof

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Already proven. In short $x = A^{-1}b$ is unique solution.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) $\vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. So $Ax = \vec{0}$ unique solution. But notice $x = \vec{0}$ is a solution, so $x = \vec{0}$ is the unique solution.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) $Ax = \vec{0}$ can be solved by reducing the aug. matrix $[A \mid \vec{0}]$.

But since solution was unique, there's no free variable, the reduced row echelon form must look like:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right] \vec{0} = \left[I_n \mid \vec{0} \right].$$

But then if we take A and put it in reduced row echelon form, the $\vec{0}$ column didn't do anything so we also get I_n .

(d) \Rightarrow (e) If $\overbrace{\text{RREF}(A)}^{\text{reduced row echelon form}} = I_n$, then $E_N \dots E_2 E_1 A = I_n$
 $\Rightarrow E_N^{-1} E_N \dots E_2 E_1 A = E_N^{-1} I_n$
 $\dots \Rightarrow E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \dots E_N^{-1} E_N \dots E_2 E_1 A = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \dots E_N^{-1} I_n$
 $A = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \dots E_N^{-1}$

So A is product of elem. matrices

(e) \Rightarrow (a) Suppose $A = E_1 E_2 \dots E_m$ Then:
 $E_m^{-1} \dots E_2^{-1} E_1^{-1} A = E_m^{-1} \dots E_2^{-1} E_1^{-1} E_1 E_2 \dots E_m = I_n$
 $E_m^{-1} \dots E_2^{-1} E_1^{-1} A = I_n$
 So $A^{-1} = E_m^{-1} \dots E_2^{-1} E_1^{-1}$

Gauss-Jordan Elimination

Let $A_{n \times n}$

Then stick it with a I_n

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & I_n \end{array} \right]$$

So we can

$$E_n^{-1} \dots E_1^{-1} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & I_n \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A^{-1}A & A^{-1}I_n \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_n & A^{-1} \end{array} \right]$$

↑
there we go

Lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{n \times n} [A \mid I_n] &= M [c_1 \dots c_n \mid e_1 \dots e_n] \\ &= [M c_1 \dots M c_n \mid M e_1 \dots M e_n] = [MA \mid MI_n] \end{aligned}$$